

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,005 07/2		25/2003 Dae-Kwang Jung		5000-1-357	4008	
33942	7590	07/26/2005		EX	EXAMINER	
CHA & REI	TER, LL	С	HUGHES	HUGHES, DEANDRA M		
210 ROUTE 4	4 EAST S	TE 103				
PARAMUS,	NJ 0765	2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

3663

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/628,005	JUNG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Deandra M. Hughes	3663					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	ly 2003.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-13</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a) ∑	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•					
Attachment(s)		(DTO: 440)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/628,005 Page 2

Art Unit: 3663

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Objections

 Claims 1-13 are objected to because of the minor informality of inconsistent spacing. Appropriate correction is required.

## Specification

2. The specification is objected to because of the minor informality of inconsistent spacing. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Delavaux (US 5,646,762 published Jul. 8, 1997) in view of Harvey (US 5,212,711 published May 18, 1993).

### Delavaux discloses:

- an optical circulator (<u>fig. 3, #201</u>) having a plurality of ports (<u>A, B, C</u>) for outputting light circulating in an optical waveguide loop;
- at least one optical amplifier (fig. 1, #7; col. 4, lines 19-20) disposed in the optical waveguide loop for amplifying light circulating in the loop;
- a laser light source (fig. 1, #223) coupled to the optical circulator via one of the plurality of ports to receive light circulating in the optical waveguide

Application/Control Number: 10/628,005

Art Unit: 3663

loop and, in response, for outputting wavelength-locked light to the optical circulator; and,

Delavaux does not specifically disclose that the optical amplifier is a fiber amplifier. However, Harvey discloses the use of optical fiber amplifiers in a wavelength-locked Fabry-Perot laser (fig. 1, #15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill (e.g., an optical engineer) in the art at the time the invention was made to use an erbium doped fiber amplifier, as is taught by Harvey, in a wavelength-locked Fabry-Perot laser for the advantage of amplification in the common-band of an optical communication system.

Further, Delavaux does not specifically disclose a splitter. However, Harvey teaches a splitter (fig. 1, #27) for splitting a portion of the light outputted from the circulator to the optical transmission link. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill (e.g., an optical engineer) in the art at the time the invention was made apply the splitter to the apparatus of Delavaux for the advantage of extracting light from the waveguide loop.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

With regard to claim 2 and its dependent claims, the prior art does not teach or make obvious a plurality of Fabry-Perot lasers coupled to receive the demultiplexed light and for outputting wavelength-locked light signals having self-seeded wavelengths in conjunction with the other features of the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,005 Page 4

Art Unit: 3663

With regard to claim 5 and its dependent claims, the prior art does not teach or make obvious first and second amplifying optical fibers connected in series in the optical waveguide loop in conjunction with the other features of the claim.

## **Conclusion**

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cutler, Gambini, Hansen, Harvey, Kai, Liu, Mizrahi, Rakuljic, Shimizu; Tayebati, and Parviz disclose mode locked lasers.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deandra M. Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-6982. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 3663